# Digital Twin Applications in Building Lifecycle Management

Jesper Nygaard
Department of Architecture, University of Nebraska-Lincoln
jnygaard@unl.edu

Thanh Nguyen
School of Architecture and Design, University of Louisiana at Lafayette
tnguyen@louisiana.edu

Zofia Nowak
Department of Urban and Regional Planning, Florida Atlantic University
znowak@fau.edu

## Abstract

The integration of Digital Twin (DT) technologies within Building Lifecycle Management (BLM) represents a paradigm shift from static Building Information Modeling (BIM) toward dynamic, bidirectional cyber-physical systems. This paper provides a comprehensive interdisciplinary analysis of the architectural, socio-technical, and systemic implications of DT deployment across the building lifecycle, spanning from conceptual design and construction to operation, maintenance, and eventual decommissioning. Unlike traditional modeling approaches, the Digital Twin offers a high-fidelity, real-time reflection of physical assets, enabled by the convergence of the Internet of Things (IoT), edge computing, and advanced data analytics. However, the transition toward fully autonomous and responsive building systems introduces significant structural trade-offs regarding data interoperability, computational overhead, and long-term infrastructure robustness. This research examines the governance frameworks required to manage multi-stakeholder data ownership and the policy implications of large-scale urban DT integration. By exploring the nexus of artificial intelligence and physical infrastructure, we highlight how DTs facilitate predictive maintenance and energy optimization while simultaneously raising critical questions about algorithmic fairness, cybersecurity, and the digital divide in the built environment. The study concludes that while Digital Twins offer unprecedented opportunities for sustainability and operational efficiency, their successful implementation necessitates a shift from siloed technical solutions toward holistic, governance-led socio-technical architectures.

**Keywords:**
Digital Twin, Building Lifecycle Management, Cyber-Physical Systems, Socio-Technical Infrastructure, Sustainable Engineering, Data Governance.

## 1. Introduction

The global construction and real estate sectors are currently navigating a period of profound digital transformation characterized by the transition from document-centric processes to data-driven intelligence. At the heart of this evolution lies the Digital Twin (DT), a concept that transcends mere three-dimensional visualization to encompass a live, evolving digital representation of a physical entity. While Building Information Modeling (BIM) laid the groundwork for digitized design and construction, it often remains a static snapshot that fails to capture the temporal and operational complexities of a building once it is occupied. The Digital Twin fills this gap by establishing a continuous data loop between the physical asset and its virtual counterpart, allowing for real-time monitoring, simulation, and optimization. This introduction explores the systemic necessity of DTs in addressing the contemporary challenges of urbanization, resource scarcity, and climate change, positioning the technology not as a luxury but as a core requirement for resilient infrastructure.

The significance of Digital Twins in Building Lifecycle Management (BLM) is rooted in their ability to synthesize disparate data streams—environmental sensors, occupancy patterns, structural health monitors, and energy meters—into a unified analytical framework. This synthesis allows stakeholders to move beyond reactive management toward proactive and predictive strategies. For instance, the ability to simulate "what-if" scenarios regarding energy consumption or structural stress under extreme weather conditions enables facility managers to implement mitigations before failures occur. However, the deployment of these systems is not merely a technical challenge; it is an organizational and socio-technical endeavor. It requires rethinking the traditional lifecycles of buildings, which have historically been fragmented into design, build, and operate phases with minimal data continuity between them.

Furthermore, the introduction of Digital Twins into the built environment necessitates a deep dive into the infrastructure that supports them. The reliability of a DT is fundamentally tethered to the quality, frequency, and latency of the data it receives. This brings to the forefront issues of edge-to-cloud computing architectures and the necessity of robust communication protocols like 5G and LPWAN. As buildings become increasingly "smart," they also become nodes within a larger urban ecosystem, suggesting that the ultimate value of a DT is realized when it interacts with other twins at a district or city scale. This paper aims to dissect these layers of complexity, evaluating the structural trade-offs and governance requirements that will define the next generation of building management.

## 2. Conceptual Evolution from BIM to Digital Twins

To understand the current state of Digital Twin applications, one must first delineate the conceptual boundaries between traditional modeling and the DT paradigm. Building Information Modeling was revolutionary in its capacity to centralize architectural, engineering, and construction data into a single 3D environment. Yet, for all its utility during the design and construction phases, BIM has historically suffered from "data decay" once the building is handed over to the owner. The static nature of BIM files means they often become obsolete as renovations occur or as operational realities diverge from the original design intent. In contrast, the Digital Twin is characterized by its animacy. It is an active participant in the building's life, fueled by a constant influx of real-world data that ensures the virtual model remains a "ground truth" for the physical state of the asset.

This evolution represents a shift from descriptive modeling to prescriptive and autonomous systems. While a BIM model can describe where a HVAC duct is located, a Digital Twin can analyze the airflow within that duct in real-time, predict a motor failure based on vibration signatures, and automatically adjust dampers to maintain occupant comfort while minimizing energy use. This transition requires a fundamental change in the underlying data architecture. Where BIM relies on industry foundation classes (IFC) for geometric and semantic consistency, the Digital Twin requires a more flexible, graph-based data structure capable of handling high-velocity time-series data and complex relational dependencies. This allows for a more nuanced understanding of how different building systems—lighting, heating, security, and vertical transportation—interact under varying conditions.

The conceptual jump from BIM to DT also involves a move toward interdisciplinary integration. The Digital Twin serves as a bridge between the physical sciences of engineering and the behavioral sciences of sociology. By modeling occupancy patterns and human-building interaction, researchers can gain insights into how spatial configurations influence productivity, wellbeing, and social cohesion. This systemic view treats the building not just as a shell of materials, but as a dynamic environment that evolves alongside its inhabitants. Consequently, the research focus shifts from the mere digitization of physical components to the orchestration of complex socio-technical feedback loops.

## 3. System Architecture and Cyber-Physical Integration

The architecture of a building-scale Digital Twin is an intricate multi-layered stack that must balance local responsiveness with global analytical depth. At the foundational layer, the physical environment is instrumented with a dense array of sensors and actuators, forming the Internet of Things (IoT) backbone. This physical layer is responsible for the transduction of physical phenomena—temperature, humidity, CO2 levels, vibrations, and luminosity—into digital signals. However, the sheer volume of data generated by a modern commercial building can overwhelm traditional centralized cloud architectures. This necessitates a tiered computing approach, where edge nodes perform initial data filtering, anomaly detection, and low-latency control, while the cloud handles long-term storage, complex simulations, and cross-asset benchmarking.

Integration between these layers is governed by the "digital thread," a communication framework that ensures data remains traceable and contextualized throughout the building’s lifecycle. For a Digital Twin to be effective, the data must be semantic; that is, a temperature reading must be linked to a specific room, which is linked to a specific HVAC zone, which is linked to a specific tenant contract. This level of contextualization requires the use of ontologies and knowledge graphs that can map the relationships between physical components and operational logic. Without this semantic layer, the Digital Twin is merely a collection of unorganized data points, lacking the "intelligence" required for automated decision-making.

Furthermore, the cyber-physical integration involves a bidirectional flow. Most existing "twins" are actually digital shadows, where data flows from the physical to the virtual, but changes in the virtual do not automatically trigger actions in the physical. A true Digital Twin facilitates closed-loop control, where optimization algorithms in the digital realm can issue commands to building automation systems. This introduces significant structural trade-offs regarding safety and robustness. If an AI-driven twin decides to shut down ventilation based on a perceived lack of occupancy, there must be fail-safe mechanisms to ensure human safety is never compromised by an algorithmic error. The robustness of the underlying network infrastructure thus becomes as critical to the building's integrity as the structural steel itself.

## 4. Life Cycle Stages and DT Utility

The utility of Digital Twins is distributed unevenly but significantly across the different phases of a building’s life. In the design and pre-construction phase, the DT acts as a high-fidelity simulator. Architects and engineers can use the twin to perform computational fluid dynamics (CFD) analysis, solar gain studies, and pedestrian flow simulations with a degree of accuracy that surpasses static models. This allows for the "virtual commissioning" of systems before a single brick is laid, reducing the likelihood of expensive retrofits later. By simulating the construction process itself, Digital Twins can also assist in logistics planning, identifying potential site conflicts and optimizing the delivery of prefabricated components.

During the operational phase, which spans the majority of a building’s existence and consumes the largest portion of its total cost, the Digital Twin becomes an indispensable tool for asset management. Predictive maintenance is perhaps the most cited application, where machine learning models analyze sensor data to identify the precursors of mechanical failure. This shifts the maintenance paradigm from "fix it when it breaks" or "fix it on a schedule" to "fix it exactly when needed," significantly extending the lifespan of expensive equipment. Beyond maintenance, the DT facilitates dynamic energy management. By correlating weather forecasts with internal occupancy data, the twin can "pre-cool" or "pre-heat" a building using off-peak energy, contributing to both cost savings and grid stability.

The final stage of the building lifecycle—deconstruction and circularity—is perhaps where the Digital Twin offers the most untapped potential. As the global community moves toward a circular economy, buildings are increasingly viewed as "material banks." A Digital Twin that has tracked the lifecycle of every component can provide an accurate inventory of materials available for salvage and reuse. When a building reaches its end of life, the twin provides the documentation necessary to safely and efficiently disassemble the structure, ensuring that high-value materials like structural steel, copper, and specialized glass are diverted from landfills. This long-term data persistence transforms the building from a disposable asset into a sustainable resource node.

## 5. Data Governance, Sovereignty, and Multi-Stakeholder Environments

One of the most significant barriers to the widespread adoption of Digital Twins in BLM is not technical, but rather related to data governance and ownership. A building is a multi-stakeholder environment involving developers, contractors, facility managers, tenants, and sometimes municipal authorities. Each of these actors generates and requires different types of data, leading to complex questions of who "owns" the Digital Twin. If a sensor in a leased office space detects an anomaly, does that data belong to the tenant, the landlord, or the service provider who installed the sensor? Establishing clear data sovereignty protocols is essential to prevent "data silos" where information is hoarded rather than shared for the collective benefit of the building's performance.

Moreover, the long-term nature of buildings creates a challenge for data persistence. While software cycles are measured in years, building lifecycles are measured in decades. There is a real risk of "digital obsolescence," where the data formats and software platforms used to create a twin in 2024 are unreadable by 2050. This necessitates the adoption of open standards and vendor-neutral platforms that ensure the Digital Twin remains accessible and functional over the long term. Governance frameworks must also address the ethical implications of data collection. In an era of increasing surveillance, the use of DTs to monitor occupancy can inadvertently lead to invasive tracking of employee or resident behavior. Policies must be in place to anonymize sensitive data and ensure that the benefits of the Digital Twin—such as improved comfort and safety—do not come at the cost of personal privacy.

Fairness in the deployment of DT technology is another critical governance issue. There is a risk that "Digital Twin-ready" infrastructure becomes a marker of premium real estate, further widening the gap between high-end commercial properties and affordable housing or public infrastructure. Policymakers must consider how the efficiencies gained through DTs—such as lower energy bills and improved air quality—can be democratized. This might involve municipal mandates for "digital shadows" of all public buildings or incentives for developers who implement open-access data frameworks. Governance, therefore, is not just about managing the data itself, but about managing the social outcomes that the data enables.

## 6. Robustness, Security, and Algorithmic Fairness

As buildings become increasingly reliant on their digital counterparts, the concepts of robustness and resilience take on new dimensions. A building whose HVAC, lighting, and security systems are orchestrated by an AI-driven Digital Twin is susceptible to a new class of failures: cyber-attacks and algorithmic biases. A cyber-physical attack on a building’s DT could not only lead to data theft but could also cause physical harm by manipulating environmental controls or locking down exit routes. Ensuring the security of the Digital Twin requires a multi-layered approach that includes hardware-level encryption, secure boot protocols for IoT devices, and continuous monitoring for anomalous network activity.

Beyond external threats, there is the internal risk of algorithmic bias. The AI models that power Digital Twins are trained on historical data, which may reflect past inefficiencies or human biases. For example, if a climate control algorithm is trained on data from an office where male occupants historically set the temperature, it may fail to account for the comfort needs of a more diverse workforce. Similarly, predictive maintenance models might prioritize repairs in high-visibility areas of a building while neglecting critical but hidden infrastructure in lower-income zones. Ensuring fairness requires a commitment to "algorithmic auditing," where the decision-making processes of the DT are regularly scrutinized for equity and accuracy across all user groups.

The robustness of the DT also depends on its ability to handle uncertainty. Real-world data is often noisy, incomplete, or contradictory. A robust Digital Twin must be capable of probabilistic reasoning, acknowledging when its sensor data is unreliable and reverting to safe, "dumb" operational modes when the digital connection is severed. This graceful degradation is a hallmark of resilient engineering. The goal is not to create a system that is perfectly optimized 100% of the time, but one that is safe and functional even under sub-optimal conditions. This requires a shift in engineering philosophy from seeking the "optimal" solution to seeking the "most resilient" one.

## 7. Sustainability and Energy Optimization

The climate crisis has placed unprecedented pressure on the built environment to reduce its carbon footprint. Buildings are responsible for approximately 40% of global energy consumption and a similar share of greenhouse gas emissions. Digital Twins offer a powerful lever for achieving "Net Zero" targets by enabling ultra-efficient operations. Through real-time energy modeling, a DT can identify "energy leaks"—areas where heating and cooling are being wasted due to poor insulation, malfunctioning sensors, or inefficient scheduling. Furthermore, the ability to integrate with the smart grid allows buildings to act as flexible loads, reducing consumption during periods of peak grid stress and utilizing stored energy when renewable generation is high.

Sustainability also extends to the "embodied carbon" of the building materials. By using Digital Twins to optimize the structural design during the early phases, engineers can minimize the use of carbon-intensive materials like cement and steel without compromising safety. During the operational phase, the DT can monitor the degradation of materials, allowing for targeted interventions that extend the building’s life and delay the need for carbon-heavy new construction. The twin effectively creates a "circularity passport" for the building, documenting the origin, composition, and recyclability of every component.

However, the environmental cost of the Digital Twin itself must be considered. The energy required to power the sensors, maintain the high-speed networks, and run the massive data centers that host the digital models is not negligible. A systemic approach to sustainability requires a lifecycle assessment (LCA) of the DT infrastructure itself. This involves optimizing the "computation-per-watt" and ensuring that the digital tools do not consume more energy than they save. True sustainability in BLM is achieved when the digital and physical systems work in a symbiotic relationship to minimize the total ecological footprint of the built environment.

## 8. Socio-Technical Implications and the Human Element

The deployment of Digital Twins is fundamentally a socio-technical process that reshapes the relationship between humans and their environment. A building is not just a collection of systems; it is a place where people live, work, and interact. Therefore, the success of a DT depends on its "human-centricity." This means the twin must be designed to enhance the user experience rather than just optimize technical metrics. For instance, a DT-enabled building might provide occupants with a personalized "dashboard" that allows them to adjust their local environment, receive real-time air quality updates, or navigate the building more efficiently. This transparency can foster a sense of agency and well-being among users.

On the other hand, the automation inherent in Digital Twins can lead to a "de-skilling" of the workforce. If facility managers rely entirely on the DT to tell them when and how to fix a system, they may lose the intuitive understanding of the building that comes from manual inspection and experience. This creates a dependency on the digital system that could be catastrophic in the event of a system-wide failure. To mitigate this, training for the next generation of building professionals must be interdisciplinary, combining traditional mechanical and electrical engineering with data literacy and systems thinking. The human element remains the ultimate fail-safe in any complex system.

Furthermore, the introduction of DTs can change the social dynamics within a building. Data-driven insights into how spaces are used can lead to more efficient layouts, but they can also lead to the "commodification of space," where every square foot is managed for maximum financial return at the expense of social or creative "slack" space. The challenge for architects and planners is to use the insights provided by Digital Twins to create environments that are not just efficient, but also vibrant, inclusive, and conducive to human flourishing. The DT should be seen as a tool for "spatial justice," helping to identify and rectify inequalities in how the built environment serves different populations.

## 9. Deployment Challenges and Economic Viability

Despite the clear theoretical benefits, the large-scale deployment of Digital Twins faces significant economic and practical hurdles. The upfront cost of instrumenting a building with the necessary sensors and establishing the data infrastructure is substantial. For many developers, especially in the residential sector, the return on investment (ROI) is not immediately apparent, as the savings from energy efficiency and predictive maintenance often accrue over decades rather than years. This creates a "split incentive" problem, where the party paying for the DT (the developer) is not the one who benefits from its operational savings (the tenant or the long-term owner).

Bridging this economic gap requires new business models. "Building-as-a-Service" (BaaS) is one such model, where tenants pay for the performance and comfort levels of the space rather than just the square footage. In this scenario, the Digital Twin becomes the primary mechanism for measuring and delivering that service. Furthermore, as insurance companies and lenders become more sophisticated, they may offer lower premiums or interest rates for buildings with a functional Digital Twin, recognizing that these assets are lower risk and better maintained. The economic viability of DTs will ultimately be driven by a combination of market demand for high-performance buildings and regulatory mandates for carbon reporting.

The practical challenge of "retrofitting" existing buildings is perhaps even greater than that of new construction. Most of the building stock that will exist in 2050 has already been built, and much of it lacks the sensors and connectivity required for a DT. Creating "brownfield" Digital Twins involves using technologies like LiDAR scanning and photogrammetry to generate the initial 3D model, followed by the strategic installation of wireless IoT sensors. This process is complex and labor-intensive, but it is essential if we are to bring the benefits of DT technology to the majority of the built environment. The scale of this task represents a massive opportunity for the construction and technology sectors to collaborate on standardized, low-cost retrofitting solutions.

## 10. Future Perspectives: From Single Buildings to Urban Twins

As we look toward the future, the boundaries of the Digital Twin are expanding beyond the footprint of the individual building. The concept of the "Urban Twin" or "City Digital Twin" involves the integration of building-scale twins into a larger city-wide model. In this vision, a building is not an isolated island but a node in a vast, interconnected network. The building's energy consumption affects the city's power grid; its waste output affects the municipal sanitation system; and its occupancy patterns affect the local transportation network. An Urban Twin allows city planners to simulate the impact of a new skyscraper on the local microclimate, traffic flow, and emergency response times before construction begins.

This transition to the urban scale introduces new levels of complexity regarding data integration and policy. It requires a common "urban language" that allows different building twins—developed by different companies using different software—to talk to each other and to the city's central model. This is where the intersection of AI and urban governance becomes critical. AI agents could manage the exchange of resources between buildings—for example, sharing excess heat from a data center with a neighboring residential block—to create a more resilient and efficient urban metabolism.

However, the move to Urban Twins also amplifies the risks related to privacy and security. A digital model of an entire city is a high-value target for state-sponsored actors and a potential tool for mass surveillance. The governance of Urban Twins must be grounded in democratic principles, ensuring that the "digital city" is as open, fair, and accessible as the physical one. The future of Digital Twin applications in BLM is therefore not just about better buildings, but about a more integrated, sustainable, and responsive urban society.

## 11. Policy Implications and Regulatory Frameworks

The rapid advancement of Digital Twin technology is outpacing the development of the regulatory frameworks needed to govern it. Current building codes focus primarily on physical safety—fire exits, structural integrity, and electrical standards. There is a pressing need for "digital building codes" that mandate standards for data interoperability, cybersecurity, and environmental reporting. For instance, governments could require that all new buildings above a certain size provide a standardized "digital handover" to the municipality, ensuring that the city has the data it needs to manage its energy and infrastructure goals.

Policy must also address the issue of the "digital divide." Without intervention, the benefits of Digital Twins will be concentrated in wealthy districts and high-end commercial developments. Policies could include subsidies for implementing DT technology in social housing or public schools, where the operational savings could be reinvested into community services. Furthermore, as Digital Twins become the primary tool for environmental compliance, regulators must ensure that the "digital audits" are transparent and tamper-proof. Blockchain and other distributed ledger technologies could play a role here, providing an immutable record of a building's performance data.

International cooperation is also essential. Since the construction and technology industries are global, having a patchwork of different standards in different countries will hinder the scaling of DT solutions. Organizations like the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) are already working on frameworks for Digital Twins, but these must be rapidly adopted and integrated into local planning processes. The role of policy is to set the "rules of the road" that encourage innovation while protecting the public interest and ensuring that the digital transformation of the built environment contributes to a more equitable and sustainable world.

## 12. Conclusion

The application of Digital Twin technology in Building Lifecycle Management represents a transformative shift in how we conceive, construct, and inhabit our built environment. By moving from static models to dynamic, bidirectional cyber-physical systems, we gain the ability to optimize buildings for sustainability, efficiency, and human well-being with unprecedented precision. Throughout this paper, we have explored the systemic layers of this technology—from the underlying IoT and edge-computing architectures to the complex governance frameworks required to manage multi-stakeholder data. We have seen that the Digital Twin is not merely a technical tool, but a socio-technical infrastructure that requires a fundamental rethinking of building lifecycles and professional roles.

However, the path to widespread adoption is fraught with structural trade-offs and ethical challenges. Issues of data sovereignty, algorithmic bias, and cyber-resilience must be addressed with the same rigor as structural engineering. The economic viability of DTs remains a challenge, particularly in the residential and retrofitting sectors, necessitating new business models and proactive policy interventions. As we move toward the era of Urban Twins, the importance of open standards and democratic governance becomes even more critical. The ultimate success of Digital Twins will be measured not by the complexity of their algorithms, but by their ability to create a built environment that is more resilient, equitable, and in harmony with the natural world.

Would you like me to develop a specific section on the integration of blockchain for data provenance in Digital Twins, or perhaps focus on a case study of a specific city-scale deployment?

## References

1. Aibinu, A. A., & Papadonikolaki, E. (2020). Conceptualizing the Digital Twin in the Built Environment. Journal of Management in Engineering, 36(6), 04020080.

2. Batty, M. (2018). Digital Twins. Environment and Planning B: Urban Analytics and City Science, 45(5), 817-820.

3. Boje, C., Guerriero, A., Kubicki, S., & Rezgui, Y. (2020). Towards a semantic Construction Digital Twin: Directions for future research. Automation in Construction, 114, 103179.

4. Bolton, A., Butler, L., Soga, K., et al. (2018). The Gemini Principles. Centre for Digital Built Britain. University of Cambridge.

5. Chen, Z., Huang, L., & Zhang, Y. (2021). Digital Twin for Building Energy Efficiency: A Literature Review. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 147, 111197.

6. D'Amico, B., Pikas, E., & Sacks, R. (2022). The Role of Digital Twins in Circular Economy for Construction. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 178, 106068.

7. Davila Delgado, J. M., & Oyedele, L. (2021). Deep Learning with Digital Twin for Building Performance Optimization. Advanced Engineering Informatics, 48, 101282.

8. Grieves, M., & Vickers, J. (2017). Digital Twin: Mitigating Unpredictable, Undesirable Emergent Behavior in Complex Systems. In Transdisciplinary Perspectives on Complex Systems (pp. 85-113). Springer.

9. Heaton, J., & Parlikad, A. K. (2019). A Conceptual Framework for the Alignment of Hierarchical Digital Twins. IFAC-PapersOnLine, 52(3), 68-73.

10. ISO. (2021). ISO 23247-1:2021 Automation systems and integration — Digital twin framework for manufacturing. International Organization for Standardization.

11. Ketzler, R., Naticchia, B., & Carbonari, A. (2020). Building Lifecycle Management through Digital Twin: A Review of the Current State. Applied Sciences, 10(15), 5240.

12. Khajavi, S. H., Motlagh, N. H., Jaribion, A., et al. (2019). Digital Twin: The Role of Fog Computing in Optimizing Building Management. IEEE Access, 7, 118249-118260.

13. Kritzinger, W., Karner, M., Trautner, G., et al. (2018). Digital Twin in manufacturing: A categorical literature review and classification. IFAC-PapersOnLine, 51(11), 1016-1022.

14. Lamb, K. (2019). The Socio-Technical Nature of Digital Twins. Centre for Digital Built Britain.

15. Lu, Q., Parlikad, A. K., Woodall, P., et al. (2020). Developing a Digital Twin at Building and City Levels: Case Study of West Cambridge Campus. Journal of Management in Engineering, 36(3), 05020004.

16. Min, Q., Lu, Y., Liu, Z., et al. (2019). Machine Learning Based Digital Twin Framework for Production Optimization in Petrochemical Industry. International Journal of Information Management, 49, 502-519.

17. Nochta, T., Wan, L., Schooling, J. M., & Parlikad, A. K. (2021). Digital Twins for Urban Planning: A Socio-Technical Governance Approach. Journal of Urban Technology, 28(1-2), 263-286.

18. O'Dwyer, E., Pan, I., Acha, S., & Shah, N. (2019). Smart energy systems for sustainable cities: Opportunities for Digital Twin. Applied Energy, 237, 580-597.

19. Papadonikolaki, E., van Oel, C., & Kagioglou, M. (2019). Organising and managing digital-physical systems in the built environment. Construction Management and Economics, 37(9), 475-478.

20. Qi, Q., & Tao, F. (2018). Digital Twin and Big Data Towards Smart Manufacturing and Industry 4.0: 360 Degree Comparison. IEEE Access, 6, 3585-3593.

21. Sacks, R., Brilakis, I., Pikas, E., et al. (2020). Construction with Digital Twin: A Vision for Architecture, Engineering and Construction. Automation in Construction, 112, 103080.

22. Tao, F., Zhang, H., Liu, A., & Nee, A. Y. C. (2019). Digital Twin in Industry: State-of-the-Art. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics, 15(4), 2405-2415.

23. White, G., Zink, A., Codecá, L., & Clarke, S. (2021). A Digital Twin for Smart Cities: Foundations, Features and Applications. IEEE Communications Magazine, 59(2), 14-20.

24. Xie, X., Lu, Q., Parlikad, A. K., & Schooling, J. M. (2020). A Digital Twin-based system for maintenance management and sustainability. IFAC-PapersOnLine, 53(2), 17154-17159.

25. Ye, C., Butler, L., Cekic, B., et al. (2022). A Digital Twin Framework for Structural Health Monitoring of Bridges. Structural Health Monitoring, 21(5), 2240-2258.