Sustainable Food Supply Chains and Global Food Security

Elena Dragomirova
Department of Food Science, University of Massachusetts Amherst
edragomirova@umass.edu

Pavel Černý
Department of Horticulture and Natural Resources, Kansas State University
pcerny@ksu.edu

Azra Selimović
Department of Nutrition and Food Sciences, University of Vermont
aselimovic@uvm.edu

Abstract

The transition toward sustainable food supply chains represents one of the most significant socio-technical challenges of the twenty-first century, sitting at the intersection of environmental stewardship, economic viability, and global food security. As global populations increase and climate volatility destabilizes traditional agricultural outputs, the structural rigidity of legacy supply chain architectures has become a primary bottleneck for equitable food distribution. This research paper examines the systemic interplay between supply chain resilience and global food security, arguing that sustainability must be redefined beyond carbon metrics to include structural robustness, distributive fairness, and technological democratization. By analyzing the transition from linear to circular agricultural economies, the study explores how decentralized infrastructure and artificial intelligence can mitigate the risks of localized shocks cascading into global crises. We investigate the governance frameworks required to balance the competing interests of multinational agribusinesses with those of smallholder farmers in developing regions. Through a multi-layered analysis of logistics, policy, and ecological constraints, this paper identifies the core trade-offs inherent in modernizing food systems. We conclude that achieving global food security requires a fundamental shift in supply chain philosophy—moving away from pure cost-optimization toward a holistic model of regenerative reliability that prioritizes long-term systemic health over short-term throughput efficiency.

Keywords:

Sustainable Supply Chains, Global Food Security, Socio-Technical Systems, Agricultural Infrastructure, Resilience Engineering, Food Governance, Circular Economy.

1. Introduction

The global food system is currently operating at a critical juncture where historical paradigms of industrial efficiency are clashing with the inescapable realities of ecological limits and geopolitical instability. For decades, the primary objective of food supply chain management was the maximization of caloric throughput at the lowest possible marginal cost. This focus on hyper-efficiency led to the development of highly centralized, globally integrated networks that relied on predictable climatic patterns and stable trade relations. However, the contemporary landscape is defined by radical uncertainty, ranging from the systemic disruptions caused by global pandemics to the chronic stressors of soil degradation and water scarcity. Consequently, the discourse surrounding food security has shifted from a question of total global production to a complex inquiry into the structural integrity and sustainability of the chains that link production to consumption.

Global food security is no longer a localized issue of agricultural yield but a systemic property of the global infrastructure. The precariousness of this system is highlighted by the fact that a significant portion of the world's population remains undernourished despite the planet producing enough food to sustain the current population. This paradox points to a failure in distribution, waste management, and socio-economic access—all of which are functions of supply chain architecture. To address these failures, a transition toward sustainable food supply chains is mandatory. Sustainability in this context refers to a multi-dimensional construct that encompasses environmental regeneration, social equity in labor and pricing, and the economic durability of the entities within the network.

This paper adopts an interdisciplinary lens to dissect the mechanisms through which supply chains influence food security. We posit that the current architectural flaws are rooted in an over-reliance on "just-in-time" logistics which, while reducing storage costs, leaves the system vulnerable to even minor perturbations. By exploring the socio-technical dimensions of these systems, we can begin to design more robust frameworks that integrate advanced data analytics with localized knowledge. The subsequent sections will detail the theoretical underpinnings of sustainable supply chains, the technological and infrastructural requirements for modernization, and the policy governance necessary to ensure that global food security is treated as a fundamental human right rather than a mere market outcome.

2. Theoretical Frameworks of Systemic Sustainability

To understand the evolution of food systems, one must first engage with the theoretical shift from linear to circular economy models within the agricultural sector. The traditional linear model—characterized by the "take-make-dispose" philosophy—has resulted in significant nutrient loss and environmental externalities that are rarely accounted for in the market price of food. In contrast, a circular food system aims to close the loop of nutrient cycles, reducing the reliance on synthetic inputs and minimizing post-harvest losses. This transition requires a re-evaluation of the supply chain not as a sequence of discrete transactions but as a complex adaptive system. Within this system, every node—from the microbial health of the soil to the logistical efficiency of the refrigerated container—is interconnected.

Sustainability must also be viewed through the lens of resilience engineering. In large-scale systems, resilience is defined as the capacity to absorb, recover from, and adapt to disruptive events. For a food supply chain, this means maintaining the flow of essential nutrients to vulnerable populations even during instances of extreme weather or trade blockades. The trade-off between efficiency and redundancy is a central theme in this theoretical exploration. While redundant systems are often criticized for being "wasteful" in a neoliberal economic sense, they are essential for survival in a volatile environment. We argue that a truly sustainable food system prioritizes "functional redundancy," where diverse sourcing and multi-modal transport options provide a safety net against the failure of any single node or link.

Furthermore, the socio-technical perspective emphasizes that technology cannot be separated from the human and institutional contexts in which it operates. A supply chain is as much a social network as it is a physical one. Fairness and equity are therefore not just moral imperatives but functional requirements for sustainability. If smallholder farmers—who produce a substantial portion of the world's food—are marginalized by the economic structures of the supply chain, the overall system loses its diversity and becomes more prone to systemic collapse. Theoretical frameworks must therefore integrate power dynamics and governance structures into the analysis of supply chain performance, ensuring that value is distributed across the chain in a manner that incentivizes sustainable practices at the point of production.

3. The Structural Architecture of Global Food Networks

The physical and digital architecture of global food networks determines the speed, cost, and reliability of food delivery. Currently, this architecture is characterized by a high degree of centralization, with a few major "chokepoints" in global shipping and a handful of multinational corporations controlling significant portions of the grain and seed markets. This concentration of power and physical flow creates a "brittle" system. An analysis of global trade routes reveals that disruptions at key maritime straits or major inland hubs can trigger price spikes and shortages thousands of miles away. To enhance global food security, the architecture must move toward a more "mesh-like" or decentralized configuration.

Decentralization involves the development of regional food hubs that can operate independently of the global grid when necessary. These hubs serve as intermediate processing and storage centers that reduce the distance between producers and consumers, thereby lowering the carbon footprint associated with long-haul transportation. However, the shift toward regionalism presents its own set of challenges, particularly regarding the loss of economies of scale. The engineering challenge lies in creating a hybrid architecture that leverages the benefits of global trade for non-perishable commodities while fostering robust local networks for fresh produce and essential staples. This "glocal" approach requires significant investment in modular infrastructure, such as mobile processing units and standardized cold-chain containers that can be easily rerouted across different modes of transport.

The digital layer of this architecture is equally critical. The integration of the Internet of Things (IoT) and distributed ledger technologies offers the potential for unprecedented transparency and traceability. In a sustainable supply chain, the ability to track the provenance of a product is essential for verifying organic certifications, fair trade practices, and carbon footprints. Moreover, real-time data flow allows for more sophisticated demand forecasting, which can drastically reduce food waste. When information is siloed, as is often the case in legacy systems, the "bullwhip effect" leads to overproduction at one end and shortages at the other. A modernized, transparent architecture enables a synchronized response to market signals, aligning production more closely with actual human needs rather than speculative forecasts.

4. Technological Interventions and AI Integration

Artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning are poised to redefine the operational parameters of food supply chains. At the production level, AI-driven precision agriculture allows for the optimization of water and fertilizer use, directly contributing to the environmental pillar of sustainability. By analyzing satellite imagery and sensor data from the field, AI models can predict pest outbreaks and crop failures with increasing accuracy. This predictive capability is a cornerstone of food security, as it allows for preemptive interventions and more informed insurance modeling for farmers. The deployment of these technologies, however, must be handled with care to avoid creating a "digital divide" where only the most well-capitalized operations can afford the tools necessary to survive in a changing climate.

In the mid-stream of the supply chain, AI optimizes logistics and inventory management. Autonomous routing algorithms can identify the most fuel-efficient paths for delivery vehicles, accounting for real-time traffic and weather conditions. Furthermore, machine learning models can assist in "dynamic shelf-life" assessment. Instead of relying on static "best before" dates, sensors can monitor the actual condition of perishable goods, allowing supply chain managers to prioritize the distribution of items that are closest to spoilage. This level of granular control is essential for reducing the staggering amount of food that is currently lost between the farm gate and the retail store.

The socio-technical challenge of AI integration involves the governance of data and the transparency of algorithms. As supply chains become more reliant on automated decision-making, the risk of "black box" failures increases. If an algorithm determines the pricing or the allocation of food resources based on biased or incomplete data, the results could be catastrophic for marginalized communities. Therefore, the development of "explainable AI" within the food sector is a research priority. Stakeholders must be able to audit and understand the logic behind the automated systems that govern the flow of global calories. The goal is to create an augmented intelligence environment where human expertise in ecology and sociology works in tandem with the computational power of AI to manage the complexity of global food networks.

5. Infrastructure and the Cold Chain Challenge

One of the most significant physical barriers to global food security is the lack of adequate cold chain infrastructure in developing regions. It is estimated that a third of all food produced is wasted, and in many parts of the world, this waste occurs primarily in the post-harvest phase due to the absence of refrigeration. The "cold chain" refers to the seamless series of storage and distribution activities that maintain a given temperature range for perishable goods. Building a sustainable cold chain is not merely a matter of installing refrigerators; it requires a reliable energy grid, specialized transport vehicles, and a trained workforce. In many areas where food insecurity is highest, the energy infrastructure is either non-existent or carbon-intensive, creating a paradox where increasing food security could lead to increased greenhouse gas emissions.

Addressing this challenge requires innovation in decentralized, renewable-energy-powered cooling solutions. Solar-powered cold storage units and "passive" cooling technologies that utilize phase-change materials are becoming increasingly viable. These technologies allow for localized storage at the village or farm level, empowering smallholders to wait for better market prices rather than being forced to sell their produce immediately to avoid spoilage. From a systems perspective, the cold chain must be integrated into a wider "energy-food-water" nexus. By treating the cold chain as part of a multi-resource system, waste heat from cooling units can potentially be repurposed for other agricultural processes, such as drying grain or heating greenhouses.

Furthermore, the robustness of the cold chain is a major vulnerability in the face of climate change. As heatwaves become more frequent and intense, the energy required to maintain low temperatures increases, putting additional strain on power grids. The engineering of sustainable food supply chains must therefore include "climate-proofing" the infrastructure. This involves using more efficient insulation materials, transitioning to natural refrigerants with low global warming potential, and designing systems that can withstand prolonged power outages. A resilient cold chain is a prerequisite for a food-secure world, acting as a critical buffer against the inherent volatility of biological production.

6. Economic Resilience and Fair Trade Governance

The economic sustainability of food supply chains is inextricably linked to the concept of fairness. A system where the primary producers live in poverty while the retail and processing sectors capture the vast majority of the value is inherently unstable. Such an imbalance leads to rural-to-urban migration, the abandonment of traditional farming knowledge, and a lack of investment in sustainable land management. To ensure long-term food security, the economic architecture of the supply chain must support a "living income" for all participants. This requires a shift in governance from purely market-driven interactions to more collaborative and transparent relationship models.

Fair trade governance involves the implementation of mechanisms that protect small-scale producers from the volatility of global commodity markets. This can include minimum price guarantees, transparent contracts, and the facilitation of farmer cooperatives that can negotiate more effectively with large buyers. From a systems engineering perspective, this is a problem of incentive alignment. If the goals of the supply chain are only to minimize cost, then negative externalities (such as soil exhaustion or labor exploitation) are ignored. By incorporating social and environmental performance indicators into the contractual agreements that govern the supply chain, we can align the profit motive with the goals of sustainability and food security.

Policy interventions play a crucial role in creating this level playing field. Governments and international bodies must regulate the market power of large intermediaries to prevent monopolistic practices that squeeze both producers and consumers. Furthermore, public investment in "public good" infrastructure—such as rural roads, research and development for climate-resilient crops, and digital literacy programs—is essential. This infrastructure provides the foundation upon which private sector actors can build sustainable businesses. The role of governance is to provide the "rules of the game" that ensure competition fosters innovation rather than exploitation. In a food-secure future, the economic resilience of the smallest farm is just as important as the logistical efficiency of the largest supermarket chain.

7. Environmental Impact and Regenerative Logistics

The environmental footprint of food supply chains extends far beyond the carbon emissions of transport. It encompasses the land-use changes driven by agricultural expansion, the nitrogen runoff from intensive fertilization, and the massive consumption of freshwater. A sustainable supply chain must transition from a model of "minimizing harm" to one of "active regeneration." This concept, often termed regenerative agriculture, focuses on restoring soil health, increasing biodiversity, and sequestering carbon within the farming system. However, for regenerative agriculture to scale, the supply chain must be capable of handling a more diverse and less standardized range of products.

Regenerative logistics involves designing systems that can manage the complexities of polyculture and seasonal variability. Current industrial supply chains are optimized for monocultures—large volumes of uniform products that are easy to sort, pack, and process. Regenerative systems, by contrast, may produce smaller quantities of many different crops. This requires more flexible and adaptive logistical solutions. We must move toward "smart" sorting and processing facilities that can handle high variability in product size, shape, and ripeness. Furthermore, the concept of "logistics as a service" (LaaS) can allow multiple small-scale regenerative farmers to share transport and storage assets, achieving the necessary scale to compete with industrial producers without compromising their ecological practices.

The measurement of environmental impact is another area where technological and methodological advancements are needed. Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) is a powerful tool for evaluating the total footprint of a product, but it often lacks the real-time, site-specific data needed for truly sustainable management. Integrating LCA with IoT data from the supply chain allows for a "dynamic LCA" that provides immediate feedback to managers and consumers. This transparency can drive consumer behavior, as people are increasingly willing to pay a premium for food that is demonstrably good for the planet. By making the invisible environmental costs of food production visible, the supply chain can become a powerful driver for ecological restoration.

8. Addressing Food Waste as a Systemic Failure

Food waste is not an accidental byproduct of the food system; it is a structural feature of how we currently produce and distribute food. In developed nations, a significant portion of waste occurs at the retail and consumer levels, often due to aesthetic standards for produce and confusing labeling. In developing nations, as previously discussed, waste is primarily a result of infrastructure deficits. Addressing this issue requires a multi-pronged approach that targets the specific drivers of waste at every stage of the supply chain. From a systems perspective, waste represents a massive loss of energy, water, and labor that could have been used to enhance global food security.

The "circular economy" approach provides a roadmap for mitigating waste by turning "waste" into "input." For example, food that is not suitable for human consumption can be repurposed as animal feed, processed into bioenergy, or composted to return nutrients to the soil. This requires the development of "reverse logistics" networks that can efficiently collect and process organic waste streams. Such networks are currently in their infancy, but they represent a significant opportunity for sustainable innovation. By creating value from waste, we can offset the costs of more sustainable production methods and create new economic opportunities within the food system.

Policy also plays a role in waste reduction. Regulations that penalize food waste or provide tax incentives for food donations can encourage retailers to act. Education and behavioral science are equally important at the consumer level. Standardizing "use-by" and "best-before" labels can prevent millions of tons of perfectly edible food from being discarded. Ultimately, a sustainable supply chain is one that respects the intrinsic value of the resources used to produce food. By reducing waste, we can effectively increase the "yield" of our existing agricultural land without requiring more inputs or encroaching on natural ecosystems.

9. Global Policy, Trade, and Food Sovereignty

The governance of global food supply chains is a matter of international diplomacy and national security. The concept of "food sovereignty"—the right of peoples to healthy and culturally appropriate food produced through ecologically sound and sustainable methods—is a critical counterpoint to the purely market-based view of food security. In an era of increasing protectionism and geopolitical tension, the reliance on a few dominant exporters for essential calories is a strategic vulnerability. Sustainable food security requires a balance between the benefits of international trade and the necessity of national and regional self-sufficiency.

International trade agreements must be modernized to include binding environmental and social standards. If one country enforces strict sustainability regulations while its competitors do not, the sustainable producers are put at an economic disadvantage. Global policy must aim for an "upward convergence" of standards, where trade is used as a lever to improve practices worldwide. Furthermore, the role of international organizations like the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) and the World Trade Organization (WTO) must be realigned to prioritize long-term systemic stability over short-term market liberalization. This involves creating "strategic food reserves" that can be deployed to stabilize prices and provide aid during humanitarian crises.

At the national level, policy should support the diversification of the food supply. This includes investing in "orphan crops"—traditional, climate-resilient varieties that have been neglected by industrial agriculture. By broadening the range of crops that are commercially viable, we can create a more robust food system that is less susceptible to the failure of a single major staple like wheat or maize. Food sovereignty also implies the democratization of the supply chain, where local communities have a say in how their food systems are organized. This bottom-up approach to governance ensures that the supply chain is responsive to the specific cultural and nutritional needs of the population it serves.

10. Robustness and Crisis Management

The ability of food supply chains to withstand and recover from "black swan" events is the ultimate test of their sustainability. Recent history has provided numerous examples of how fragile the global system can be. Whether it is a global pandemic disrupting labor and logistics or a regional conflict cutting off major grain exports, the impact on global food prices and availability is immediate. Robustness in this context is achieved through a combination of diversity, decentralization, and foresight. A supply chain that relies on a single source or a single transport corridor is a liability.

Resilience-oriented supply chain management involves the use of "stress testing" and scenario planning. Just as financial institutions are required to demonstrate their ability to survive economic downturns, major actors in the food system should be required to demonstrate the robustness of their supply chains. This includes maintaining diverse sourcing portfolios, investing in flexible manufacturing and packaging capabilities, and having clear "emergency protocols" for rerouting supplies during a crisis. The use of digital twins—virtual replicas of the physical supply chain—allows managers to simulate various disruptions and identify the most effective mitigation strategies before a crisis occurs.

Social robustness is equally vital. During times of crisis, the most vulnerable members of society are the first to lose access to food. A sustainable supply chain must have built-in "social safety nets," such as robust relationships with food banks and community organizations. Government policy must ensure that during emergencies, food is treated as a public good rather than a commodity to be hoarded or speculated upon. The goal of crisis management in the food sector is not just to keep the shelves full in wealthy nations, but to ensure that the global distribution system remains functional and equitable for everyone.

11. Socio-Technical Barriers to Transition

Despite the clear benefits of sustainable food supply chains, the transition is hindered by significant socio-technical barriers. The most prominent of these is the "incumbency effect," where the existing infrastructure, legal frameworks, and business models are deeply entrenched and resistant to change. The "lock-in" to industrial agriculture and centralized logistics is reinforced by billions of dollars in subsidies and investments that favor the status quo. Breaking this lock-in requires not just technological innovation, but a fundamental shift in political will and public perception.

There is also a significant knowledge gap. Transitioning to more complex, decentralized, and regenerative systems requires a new set of skills for farmers, logistics managers, and policymakers. In many cases, traditional knowledge that was lost during the era of industrialization must be recovered and integrated with modern scientific methods. Furthermore, the "digital divide" remains a major obstacle. If the benefits of AI and blockchain are only available to large-scale actors in the Global North, the transition will exacerbate existing inequalities and fail to provide true global food security. Ensuring that technology is "appropriate" and accessible is a key challenge for interdisciplinary researchers.

Finally, the mismatch between the long-term nature of sustainability and the short-term horizons of financial markets creates a "disincentive for investment." Sustainable practices often have higher upfront costs and longer payback periods than traditional methods. To overcome this, we need new financial instruments, such as "green bonds" for agricultural infrastructure and "sustainability-linked loans" that reward companies for meeting environmental and social targets. By realigning the financial incentives with the long-term health of the food system, we can unlock the capital necessary to transform the global supply chain.

12. Future Directions: Toward a Generative Food System

Looking toward the mid-twenty-first century, the goal of supply chain research should be the creation of "generative" food systems. A generative system is one that not only sustains itself but actively improves the environment and the society in which it operates. This involves the integration of emerging technologies like cellular agriculture (lab-grown meat and dairy) and indoor vertical farming into the broader supply chain. These technologies offer the potential to decouple food production from land use, providing a high-density, climate-resilient source of nutrients that can be situated close to urban centers.

The "Internet of Food" is another burgeoning field, where standardized data protocols allow for the seamless exchange of information across the entire global network. Imagine a system where a consumer can scan a QR code on a package and see not just where the food was grown, but the exact carbon sequestered by the farmer, the fair wage paid to the pickers, and the real-time energy efficiency of the delivery truck. This level of radical transparency would transform the food system from a "commodity market" into a "value-based network."

As we move forward, the focus of food security must expand to include "nutritional security." It is not enough to provide calories; we must provide the diverse array of micronutrients needed for human health. This requires a supply chain that prioritizes the delivery of fresh, nutrient-dense foods over highly processed, shelf-stable commodities. The engineering of such a system is complex, but it is the only way to address the dual global crises of hunger and malnutrition-related diseases. The future of food security lies in our ability to design and govern supply chains that are as nourishing as they are efficient.

13. Conclusion

The pursuit of sustainable food supply chains is the defining challenge for the intersection of engineering, policy, and ecology. As this paper has explored, the transition from legacy industrial models to resilient, circular, and fair networks is not merely an option but a systemic necessity. The current architecture of global food distribution, while highly efficient in terms of throughput, is fundamentally fragile and ill-equipped to handle the compounding stressors of the Anthropocene. To ensure global food security, we must prioritize structural robustness over marginal cost-savings and integrate the "true cost" of food—including its environmental and social impacts—into our economic and operational models.

Achieving this vision requires a multi-faceted approach. We must invest in decentralized infrastructure, particularly in the Global South, to close the "cold chain gap" and reduce waste. We must leverage the power of artificial intelligence and distributed data systems to create a more transparent and responsive supply chain. Perhaps most importantly, we must transform the governance of our food systems to ensure that power and value are distributed equitably, protecting the sovereignty of producers and the health of consumers. The path to a food-secure world is paved with the complexities of socio-technical systems, and it requires a sustained, interdisciplinary effort to navigate the trade-offs between efficiency, equity, and ecology. Ultimately, a sustainable food supply chain is more than just a logistical triumph; it is the foundation of a resilient and flourishing human civilization.

References

1. Ahi, P., & Searcy, C. (2013). A comparative literature analysis of definitions for green and sustainable supply chain management. Journal of Cleaner Production, 52, 329-341.

2. Altieri, M. A. (2018). Agroecology: The science of sustainable agriculture. CRC Press.

3. Béné, C. (2020). Resilience of local food systems and links to food security – A review of some important concepts in the context of COVID-19 and other shocks. Food Security, 12(4), 805-822.

4. Carter, C. R., & Rogers, D. S. (2008). A framework of sustainable supply chain management: Moving toward new theory. International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management, 38(5), 360-387.

5. Christopher, M., & Peck, H. (2004). Building the resilient supply chain. The International Journal of Logistics Management, 15(2), 1-14.

6. Clapp, J. (2020). Food. Polity Press.

7. Dauvergne, P. (2020). AI in the Wild: Sustainability in the Age of Artificial Intelligence. MIT Press.

8. Foley, J. A., et al. (2011). Solutions for a cultivated planet. Nature, 478(7369), 337-342.

9. Garnett, T. (2011). Where are the best opportunities for reducing greenhouse gas emissions in the food system? Food Policy, 36, S23-S32.

10. Gereffi, G., & Lee, J. (2012). Why the world needs a global value chain perspective on food security. Agricultural Economics, 43, 25-32.

11. Godfray, H. C. J., et al. (2010). Food security: The challenge of feeding 9 billion people. Science, 327(5967), 812-818.

12. Gustavsson, J., Cederberg, C., & Sonesson, U. (2011). Global food losses and food waste: Extent, causes and prevention. FAO.

13. Hajshirmohammadi, A. (2022). Sustainability and Circularity in Food Supply Chains. Springer Nature.

14. Ivanov, D., & Dolgui, A. (2020). Viability of intertwined supply networks: Extending the supply chain resilience angles towards survivability. International Journal of Production Research, 58(10), 2904-2915.

15. Lang, T., & Barling, D. (2012). Food security and food sustainability: Reformulating the debate. The Geographical Journal, 178(4), 313-326.

16. Lazzarini, S. G., et al. (2001). Integrating supply chain and network analyses: The study of netchains. Journal on Chain and Network Science, 1(1), 7-22.

17. Lee, H. L. (2004). The triple-A supply chain. Harvard Business Review, 82(10), 102-113.

18. Mentzer, J. T., et al. (2001). Defining supply chain management. Journal of Business Logistics, 22(2), 1-25.

19. Parfitt, J., Barthel, M., & Macnaughton, S. (2010). Food waste within food supply chains: Quantification and potential for change to 2050. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 365(1554), 3065-3081.

20. Pingali, P. L. (2012). Green Revolution: Impacts, limits, and the path ahead. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 109(31), 12302-12308.

21. Poore, J., & Nemecek, T. (2018). Reducing food's environmental impacts through producers and consumers. Science, 360(6392), 987-992.

22. Pretty, J. (2018). Intensification without simplification: The role of agroecology. Routledge.

23. Sarkis, J. (2021). Supply chain sustainability: Learning from the COVID-19 pandemic. International Journal of Operations & Production Management, 41(1), 63-73.

24. Springmann, M., et al. (2018). Options for keeping the food system within environmental limits. Nature, 562(7728), 519-525.

25. Tilman, D., et al. (2011). Global food demand and the sustainable intensification of agriculture. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 108(50), 20260-20264.

26. Tseng, M. L., et al. (2019). Sustainable food supply chain management: A holistic review. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 146, 11-21.

27. United Nations. (2022). The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World. FAO/IFAD/UNICEF/WFP/WHO.

28. Vermeulen, S. J., Campbell, B. M., & Ingram, J. S. (2012). Climate change and food systems. Annual Review of Environment and Resources, 37, 195-222.

29. Wognum, P. M., et al. (2011). Systems for sustainability and transparency of food supply chains – Current status and challenges. Advanced Engineering Informatics, 25(1), 65-76.

30. Zhu, Q., & Sarkis, J. (2004). Relationships between operational practices and performance among early adopters of green supply chain management practices in Chinese manufacturing enterprises. Journal of Operations Management, 22(3), 265-289.